ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸುಶೀಲಾಬಾಯಿ ಹೀರಾ ಚೆಂದ್‌ಷಾ.—ಒಂದು ಮಗು ಟೈಫಾಯಿಡ್ ಅಥವಾ ಎನಿಮಿಯಾ ಬೇನೆ ಬಿದ್ದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚು ಬೀಳುತ್ತದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಡಾಕ್ಟರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕು. ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—1958ನೆಯ ಮೇ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಿಂದ 1959ನೆಯ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳಿನವರೆಗೆ 278 ರೂಪಾಯಿ 24 ನಯೇ ಪೈಸೆಯನ್ನು ಯೋಜನಾ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಉಪಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಎಲ್ಲ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೂ ಪ್ರತಿ ತಿಂಗಳಿನ ಖರ್ಚು ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಂದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಾಗ ಇವರದು ಮಾತ್ರ ಒಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಬಿಲ್ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಆಫ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿರುವುದು ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಯಿತು ಎಂದಲ್ಲ, ಒಟ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರು. ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—For the Chief Minister expenditure month by month has been given; not so for the other Ministers. Why is this so?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಅವರು ಯಾವ ತಿಂಗಳೂ ಖಾಯಿಲೆ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ; ಮನೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ತಿಂಗಳು ಖಾಯಿಲೆ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಆ ತಿಂಗಳು ಖರ್ಚು ಬೀಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri S. D. GAONKAR.—The expenditure incurred on the Health Minister is about Rs. 53. Himself being a doctor, why such expenditure had to be incurred, Sir.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Even doctors are not free from diseases.

1-30 P.M.

Construction of Thalimara Tank in Pavagada Town.

*Q.—337. Sri P. ANJANAPPA (Sira).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they propose to construct the Thalimara Tank in S. No. 192 of of Pavagada Town?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

The proposal is under consideration.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ಆಂಜನಪ್ಪ.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಎಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎನ್. ನಾಗನೂರ್ (ಲೋಕೋಪಯೋಗಿ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಉಪಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).—ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆರೆ ಕಟ್ಟೆಗಳು ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟಿವೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಖಾನಗಿ ಕೆರೆ ಕಟ್ಟೆಗಳೂ ಇವೆ. ಹೀಗೆ ಇರುವ ಕೆರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡ ಮೇಲೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಯೋಚಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ.—ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ಲಾನು, ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟೇನಾದರೂ ಆಗಿದೆಯೇ? ಆಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ವರ್ಷವೇ ಇದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎನ್. ನಾಗನೂರ್.—ಈ ಕೆರೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟು 6,21,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Expenditure towards formation of roads in each District of the State.

*Q.—353. Sri S. MUNIRAJU (Chickballapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

the amount spent under all heads for the formation of roads in each district of the State during the years 1957-58 and 1958-59 and the amount proposed to be spent in each district during the year 1959-60?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

Information in respect of:

(i) "81. Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account, and (ii) 63. BACD Programme-H. Communication", is furnished, as per Statement appended.

Information in respect of other heads of account is being collected and will be placed on the Table after it is received.

STATEMENT I

Expenditure incurred on formation of roads under "81. Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Accounts."

Name of District	*Expenditure during* 1957-58	*Expenditure during* 1958-59 (*Anticipated*)	*Expenditure during* 1959-60 (*As Provided in Budget for* 1959-60)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Mysore	3,338	15,500	57,000
Mandya*	193	10,000	67,000
Shimoga	1,69,024	2,74,000	1,90,000
Hassan	1,13,990	76,500	1,00,300
Bangalore	61,294	33,500	2,000
Kolar	16,100	24,000	21,000
Tumkur	7,481	5,000	17,000
Chitradurga*	...	...	25,000
Chickmagalur	56,682	1,68,800	70,000
Bellary	22,311	27,000	1,01,000
Belgaum	2,56,221	2,35,500	3,12,000
Bijapur	6,70,575	5,03,500	6,18,300
Dharwar	3,09,385	4,86,100	4,50,000
North Kanara	66,314	2,23,000	4,70,000
Raichur	31,303	2,30,00	4,70,000
Gulbarga	6,35,337	3,77,600	4,22,200
Bidar	1,12,354	2,10,000	3,30,500
South Kanara* ...	...	45,000	2,55,100
Coorg	1,66,983	79,000	1,67,000

*Full information not available.

(SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA)

STATEMENT II

Expenditure incurred during 1957-58 and 1958-59 (from 1st April 1958 to end of 31st January 1959) and the amount proposed to be incurred during 1959-60 under the Minor Head '*H-Communication*' under the Community Development Programme in the State.

Sl. No.	District	Amount spent during		Amount proposed to be spent during 1959-60
		1957-58	1958-59	
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Bangalore	63,747	1,56,340	1,00,000
2	Kolar	46,460	83,798	60,000
3	Tumkur	51,277	65,051	91,000
4	Chitradurga	1,51,568	1,09,913	55,000
5	Bellary	30,925	42,041	45,000
6	Mysore	53,062	32,388	76,000
7	Hassan	29,337	71,235	49,000
8	Mandya	38,581	64,985	45,000
9	Shimoga	1,61,110	31,462	45,000
10	Chickmagalur ...	21,360	62,814	34,000
11	Coorg	64,694	2,567	23,000
12	South Kanara ...	1,68,096	30,361	96,000
13	Belgaum	1,92,372	...	86,000
14	Dharwar	18,222	15,717	72,000
15	North Kanara ...	15,316	17,009	56,000
16	Bijapur	7,312	2,551	73,000
17	Gulbarga	9,002	11,497	72,000
18	Raichur	5,794	4,395	54,000
19	Bidar	6,000	7,853	53,000
	Total ...	10,95,235	8,11,977	11,85,000

SRI S. MUNIRAJU.—Since it is stated that "information in respect of other heads of account is being collected" may we take up this question afterwards?

SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I have no objection.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—So it will be held over and it will be taken up next time.

SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—As soon as the information is collected I will intimate the Secretary of the Legislature.

We have hardly 15 days from the date the Speaker sends us the question. Within that time we have got to collect it and submit to the Speaker. Hon'ble Members will appreciate our difficulties for collecting information from various sources. We have not failed in our duty. On the other hand we have tried to furnish as much information as was available till now.

SRI K. PUTTASWAMY.—Goverment hould have taken further time from the Speaker and collected the full information and given to us. It is no use giving us incomplete information which is no information at all.

SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The time limit fixed for Government to send

the reply is there. But the question asked was very comprehensive and so we wanted to furnish as much portion of the information as is readily available. As soon as the full information is collected we will place it on the Table and if Hon'ble Members want to put supplementaries on that we have no objection to take up the question later on. I only pleaded our inability to furnish full information within the time prescribed as the time available was short.

Agreement between Madras and Mysore regarding sharing of water of Cauvery River.

*Q.—360. Sri M. K. BOMME GOWDA (Krishnarajapet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the terms of agreement between the Governments of Madras and Mysore regarding the sharing of waters o Cauvery;

(*b*) whether the Government of Madras has acted according to the agreement?

*A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) Copy of the general agreement of 1892 between the Governments of Madras and Mysore classifying Cauvery as a schedule River, and copy of the 1924 Agreement between the two Governments relating to the scope of development of Projects and extension of Irrigation in the two States are placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Legislature Library *vide* No. 9/L. A.—1959 (Budget)].

(*b*) Certain projects have been taken up by the Government of Madras which are considered to be outside the scope of the above-mentioned agreements. The matter is under correspon ence.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆ. ಬೊಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಡಂಬಡಿಕೆಗೆ ಹೊರಗಾದವುಗಳೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅವುಗಳು ಯಾವುವು?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಒಡಂಬಡಿಕೆಗೆ ಹೊರಗಾದವುಗಳೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು—

(*a*) Development of irrigation under Mettur Canals Scheme to irrigate about 18,000 acres in Coimbatore district and 27,000 acres in Salem district.

(*b*) Remodelling of Kattalai Bed regulator about 40 miles upstream of grand anicut.

(*c*) Pullambadi channel from the left bank of the upper anicut.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆ. ಬೊಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಅಪ್ಪರ್ ಕಾವೇರಿ ರೀಜನ್ನು ಎಂದರೆ ಕನ್ನಂಬಾಡಿ ಕಟ್ಟೆಯ ಮೇಲು ಭಾಗದ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೊರಗಾದವು ಯಾವುವೂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಮದರಾಸು ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಬರಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಅಗ್ರಿಮೆಂಟಿನ ಅವಧಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸಗಳಿವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಐವತ್ತು ವರ್ಷಗಳಾದ ನಂತರ ಪುನರ್ವಿಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಎಂದು ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—ಮದರಾಸು ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಪ್ರತಿಭಟನೆಯನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—ಯಾವಾಗ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಗೊತ್ತಾದ ತಕ್ಷಣ ದಲ್ಲೇ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—After State Reorganisation, since Coorg has joined Mysore, the length of Cauvery river in Mysore has increased and it has not increased in Madras. So is it not necessary that the rules should be revised.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Government is examining all aspects of the question resulting from the formation of the new State.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALE.—Is it not a fact that this agreement has adversely affected Mysore and that the Mysore Government has not taken advantage of the terms of the agreement, and if the answer to these is in the affirmative, how is it that Government has slept over this matter so far?